



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/302,471	04/30/1999	TATSUHIKO SHIBUYA	774-98129	6935

7590

09/06/2002

WENDEROOTH LIND & PONACK LLP
SUITE 800
2033 K STREET NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

23

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

10/23

**Notification of Non-Compliance
With 37 CFR 1.192(c)**

Application N .

09/302,471

Applicant(s)

SHIBUYA ET AL.

Examiner

David M Brunsman

Art Unit

1755

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 31 July 2002 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192 (c) within the longest of any of the following three **TIME PERIODS**: (1) **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) **TWO MONTHS** from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. **EXTENTIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1. ☒ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6. ☐ A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
 - (a) ☐ the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
 - (b) ☐ the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c) (7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9. ☐ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

The brief filed fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)(c)(iii) requiring that it point out the specific limitations in the rejected claims that are not described in the prior art. The brief addresses the rejection of claims 13-15 only in that "since the claimed method involves the use of a coating solution prepared by the method of claim 7 which in itself is novel of the reference...". Claims 13-15 neither refer to claim 7 explicitly nor do they recited every limitation of claim 7 and therefore the brief does not describe specific limitations in the rejected claims not found in the prior art.



David M Brunsman
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1755